PERSPECTIVE

Technology Innovation and the Operating Model Gap

Executive Summary

Bart de Graaff · BdG Advisory · May 2026

The dominant framing of AI as a technology challenge obscures the real barriers to transformation: structural misalignment, governance gaps, and operating model inertia. This executive summary distills seven interconnected insights from BDG Advisory's research into why organizations fail to capture AI value—and what structural shifts are required.

Technology innovation does not just improve systems—it reshapes how value is created and how organizations operate. The Internet redefined distribution, Cloud centralized control and standardized operations, and AI is now beginning to influence how decisions are produced and executed within systems.

These shifts rarely occur in isolation. Their impact emerges through convergence—when compute, intelligence, connectivity, and device capability reinforce each other. The result is a redistribution of control: decisions move closer to where data is generated, systems become more autonomous, and coordination occurs across networks rather than from a single center.

The challenge for organizations is not access to these technologies, but the ability to incorporate them into how they operate.

In practice, a consistent pattern emerges. Product development becomes reactive under commercial pressure, governance expands and slows decisions, and capital is deployed ahead of delivery capability. New technologies are introduced, but incentives, accountability, and decision structures remain unchanged.

Technology capability increases. Execution reliability decreases.

AI accelerates this dynamic. By increasing system speed and removing operational slack, it exposes structural weaknesses that were previously masked. Questions that could be deferred—who owns decisions, how execution is sequenced, whether commitments align with capacity, and who controls the underlying data—become immediate constraints.

Organizations do not fail because they lack technology or strategy. They fail because their structure cannot support execution under these conditions.

Outcomes follow a consistent pattern. Capital concentrates control. Control shapes data. Data drives execution. Execution determines outcomes, and those outcomes attract further

capital. Over time, organizations scale what they are structurally designed to do—not what they intend to do.

AI amplifies this loop. It increases the speed at which both alignment and misalignment become visible. Strong structures scale faster. Weak structures fail sooner.

For investors, boards, and operators, the implication is direct. The critical question is not whether a company has strong technology or a compelling strategy. It is whether its structure—governance, incentives, and operating model—allows it to execute within the systems these technologies create.

This is not a technology transition.

It is a structural transition.

© 2026 BdG Advisory | bdgadvisory.com | info@bdgadvisory.com